

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,295	07/10/2003	James Michael Odom	MOD034	2920
25784 MICHAEL O	7590 09/14/2007 SCHEINBERG		. EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 164140			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
AUSTIN, TX 7	/8/16-4140		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,295	ODOM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3692
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind In the distribution of th	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 108-112 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 108-112 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 11	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume "3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Profesorora's Retent Proving Review (RTO 042)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/2/2005. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on June 11, 2007. Cancellation of claims 1-107 and addition of new claims 108 -112 have been entered. Claims 108 -112 are pending in the application and have been examined. The objections and rejections are stated below.

Specification

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to support the subject matter set forth in the claims. The specification, as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as now claimed.

The test to be applied under the written description portion of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is whether the disclosure of the application as originally filed reasonably conveys to the artisan that the inventor had possession at that time of later claimed subject matter. <u>Vas-Cat</u>, <u>Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 935 F. 2d 1555, 1565, 19 USPQ2d 111, 1118 (Fed. Cir. 1991), reh'rg denied (Fed. Cir. July 8, 1991) and reh'rg, en banc, denied (Fed. Cir. July 29, 1991).

Claims 108-112 include the limitations "selling to a second user a second stock representing the opposite outcome of the real world event, the proceeds from the sale by the governing body of the first stock, option, or future going in to a depository". However, the specification does not provide a written description disclosure to support the claimed limitations of "selling to a second user a second stock representing the opposite outcome of the real world

in to a depository".

event, the proceeds from the sale by the governing body of the first stock, option, or future going

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 108-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, claims 108 -112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

For the art rejections given below, the claims are interpreted in light of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejections discussed above.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 108-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 108 recites the limitations "issuing shares of stock, options, or futures by a governing body of a trading floor" and "selling to a first user a first stock, option, or future representing the outcome of a real work event". It is not clear as to what is the difference

between "issuing shares of stock, options, or futures" and "selling to a first user a first stock, option, or future". This claim also includes phrases such as "the stock options", "a real work event", "real world even" and "outcome of the even". The examiner assumes that these are typographical errors and has interpreted them to be "the stock, options", "a real world event", "real world event" and "outcome of the event". Appropriate correction/clarification is needed. It is not clear if "the outcome of a real (work) world event" in the selling step is the same as "an outcome of a real world event" in the issuing step. It is also not clear what the step of "selling to a second user a second stock representing the opposite outcome of the real world event" has to do with the limitation of "the proceeds from the sale by the governing body of the first stock, option, or future going in to a depository". Claims 109-112 are rejected by way of dependency on rejected claim 108.

Claim 109 recites the limitation "facilitating trading on a trading floor". It is not clear what the term "facilitating trading" entails. The metes and bounds of this limitation are not clear.

In view of the ambiguities discussed above the examiner is unable to provide art rejections.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are listed on the enclosed form PTO-892.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (571) 272-6751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/617,295 Page 5

Art Unit: 3692

supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached at (571) 272-6702. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft to the Patent Office is (571) 273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dr. N. Subramanian Primary Examiner Art Unit 3692

September 3, 2007

8 p E 36 92